GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

'Kamat Towers', Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji – Goa

Appeal No. 175/2016

Bharat L. Candolkar, Vady, Candolim, Bardez Goa.

.....Appellant

V/s.

- 1.Public Information Officer TCP Department, North Goa District office, Mapusa Goa.
- 2. The First Appellate Authority, Senior Town Planner, TCP Department, North Goa District office, Mapusa Goa

...... Respondents

1.

CORAM:

Smt. Pratima K. Vernekar, State Information Commissioner

Filed on: 12/09/2016 Decided on: 16/8/2017

ORDER

- 1. Brief facts of the case are that the appellant has filed appeal No.123/SIC/2015 in respect to his application, dated 8/4/15, which was disposed by this commission vide order 16/5/2016 wherein the direction were given to respondent No. 2 First appellate authority for hearing and disposing the first appeal which was earlier filed before him in accordance with law.
- 2. It is the case of the appellant in pursuant to the said order of the commission dated 16/5/16 passed in appeal NO. 123/15 he appeared before the Respondent No. 2 FAA who passed the

- erroneous, Arbitratory and perverse order in First appeal No. 9/2016 on 22/7/15.
- 3. It is a further case of the appellant that both the Respondent by the Act of denying the information, breached the mandate of RTI Act, as such he has to again approach this commission by way of present appeal.
- 4. In the present appeal he has sought for the direction of setting aside the order passed by the respondent No.2 FAA in case No. 9/16 dated 22/7/15 and for furnishing him information correctly and fully as sought by him vide his application dated 8/4/15 and also for invoking penal provision.
- 5. In pursuant to the notice of this commission Appellant appeared along with Advocate Atish Mandrekar. Respondent No. 1 PIO Shri S.P. Surlekar was present Respondent No. 2 FAA despite to due service of notice opted to remain absent .
- 6. Reply filed by the Respondent No. 1 PIO on 23/6/2017 and also additional reply on 16/8/2017. Reply filed by Respondent no. 2 FAA on 4/8/2017 through his representatives. Copies of the reply were furnished to the appellant
- 7. The appellant on the receipt of the additional reply dated 16/8/2017 wherein the information at point NO. 2 and 3 was provided/furnished, Advocate for the appellant submitted that with the said information the requirement of the applicant are fully satisfied and as such has got no grievance against PIO. And further submitted that he is not pressing for penal provisions. Accordingly appellant endorsed his say on the memo of appeal.
- 8. The displeasure is hereby expressed by this commission on the conduct and attitude shown by the Respondent no. 2 FAA . on perusal of the order passed by Respondent no. 2 in appeal No.9/16 on 22/7/16 it is seen that the first appellate authority

Passed an order concerning the RTI Application dated 7/1/14 wherein the application of the appellant in the present case was dated 8/4/15. The appellant have been made to run from the pillar to post in securing the information which is socially abhorring and legally impermissible

 However in view of the submissions and endorsement made by the appellant I find no reasons to proceed with the matter.
 Appeal disposed accordingly proceedings stands closed.

Notify the parties.

Authenticated copies of the Order should be given to the parties free of cost.

Aggrieved party if any may move against this order by way of a Writ Petition as no further Appeal is provided under the Right to Information Act 2005.

Sd/(**Ms.Pratima K. Vernekar**)
State Information Commissioner
Goa State Information Commission,
Panaji-